## The *n*-ary Initial Literal and Literal Shuffle

Stefan Hoffmann, Universität Trier, Germany August, 2021

The Prague Stringology Conference (PSC) 2021 Prague, Czech Republic, August 30–31, 2021

#### Outline

Notation & the Shuffle Operation

Initial and Literal Shuffle & Motivation

Introducing the *n*-ary Variants

Formal Properties

**Closure Properties** 

**Computational Properties** 

Relation to Shuffle on Trajectories

Conclusion

# Notation & the Shuffle Operation

#### Notation

 $\Sigma {:}\ A$  finite alphabet

 $\Sigma^*$ : Set of a all words (finite sequences),  $\varepsilon$  denotes empty word of length zero

**Language:** Subset of  $\Sigma^*$ 

**Projection for**  $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$ ; Mapping  $\pi_{\Sigma,\Gamma} : \Sigma^* \to \Gamma^*$  given by

$$\pi_{\Sigma,\Gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \Gamma\\ \varepsilon & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and 
$$\pi_{\Sigma,\Gamma}(ux)$$
 for  $u \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $x \in \Sigma$ .

Language Families: We will consider regular, context-free, context-sensitive, recursive and recursively enumerable languages.

Automata: We will mention deterministic finite automata (DFA) and non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)

 $\mathcal{P}(M)$ : Power set of set M

#### **Shuffle Operation**



The *shuffle operation*, denoted by  $\square$ , is defined by

$$u \sqcup v := \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \cdots x_n y_n \mid & u = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n, v = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n, \\ x_i, y_i \in \Sigma^*, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1 \end{array} \right\},$$

for  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  and  $L_1 \sqcup \sqcup L_2 := \bigcup_{x \in L_1, y \in L_2} (x \sqcup y)$  for  $L_1, L_2 \subseteq \Sigma^*$ . **Example:**  $\{ab\} \sqcup \{cd\} = \{abcd, acbd, acdb, cadb, cabd\}$ 

# Initial and Literal Shuffle & Motivation

#### Initial and Literal Shuffle

 $I: (\Sigma^k)^n \to \Sigma^{nk}$  denotes interleaving.

Example: I(aab, bbb, aaa) = abaababba.

**Berard (1987)** Let  $U, V \subseteq \Sigma^*$ . The *initial literal shuffle* of U and V is

$$U \sqcup_1 V = \{I(u, v)w \mid u, v, w \in \Sigma^*, |u| = |v|,$$
$$(uw \in U, v \in V) \text{ or } (u \in U, vw \in V)\}.$$

and the literal shuffle is

$$U \sqcup_2 V = \{w_1 I(u, v) w_2 \mid w_1, u, v, w_2 \in \Sigma^*, |u| = |v|, \\ (w_1 u w_2 \in U, v \in V) \text{ or } (u \in U, w_1 v w_2 \in V) \text{ or } \\ (w_1 u \in U, v w_2 \in V) \text{ or } (u w_2 \in U, w_1 v \in V) \}.$$

**Example**:  $\{abc\} \sqcup_1 \{de\} = \{adbec\},$  $\{abc\} \sqcup_2 \{de\} = \{abcde, abdce, adbec, daebc, deabc\}.$ 

- 1. Introduced to model the synchronization of two processes (the general shuffle models full concurrency).
- 2. Initial and Literal Shuffle are not associative.
- 3. So, how to model more than two synchronous process? How to define iterated versions naturally?
- 4. Here, we present *n*-ary variants of this operation to remedy these defects.

### Introducing the *n*-ary Variants

#### The *n*-ary Initial Literal and Literal Shuffle

Instead of two words that are interleaved letter-wise, we interleave n input words that way.

Write  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  as  $w_i = x_1^{(i)} \cdots x_m^{(i)}$  where  $x_j^{(i)} \in \{\varepsilon\} \cup \Sigma$ .

•  $\amalg_1^n : (\Sigma^*)^n \to \Sigma^*$  denotes the *n*-ary initial literal shuffle:

$$\sqcup _{1}^{n}(w_{1},\ldots,w_{n})=x_{1}^{(1)}\cdots x_{1}^{(n)}x_{2}^{(1)}\cdots x_{2}^{(n)}\cdot \ldots \cdot x_{m}^{(1)}\cdots x_{m}^{(n)}$$

where  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ :  $x_j^{(i)} = \varepsilon \Rightarrow x_{j+1}^{(i)} = \varepsilon$ .  $(i \in \{1, \dots, n\})$ 

• 
$$\amalg_2^n : (\Sigma^*)^n \to \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$$
 denotes the *n*-ary literal shuffle:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqcup_{2}^{n} (w_{1}, \dots, w_{n}) = \{ x_{1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{1}^{(n)} x_{2}^{(1)} \cdots x_{2}^{(n)} \cdots x_{m}^{(1)} \cdots x_{m}^{(n)} \mid \\ & \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \; \exists j \leq j' \quad (\forall k \in \{j, \dots, j'\} \cap [1, m] : x_{k}^{(i)} \neq \varepsilon) \land \\ & (\forall k \in \{1, \dots, j-1\} \cap [1, m] : x_{k}^{(i)} = \varepsilon) \land \\ & (\forall k \in \{j'+1, \dots, m\} \cap [1, m] : x_{k}^{(i)} = \varepsilon). \ \end{aligned}$$

where  $[1, m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$  (case  $\{j, \dots, j'\} \cap [1, m] = \emptyset$  possible)

Graphical depiction of the word

 $a_{1}^{(1)}a_{2}^{(1)}a_{3}^{(1)}a_{4}^{(1)}a_{1}^{(2)}a_{5}^{(1)}a_{2}^{(2)}a_{6}^{(1)}a_{3}^{(2)}a_{1}^{(3)}a_{7}^{(1)}a_{4}^{(2)}a_{2}^{(3)}a_{5}^{(2)}a_{3}^{(3)}a_{6}^{(2)}a_{7}^{(2)}a_{8}^{(2)}a_{9}^{(2)}$ 

from  $\amalg_2^3(u, v, w)$  with

$$\begin{split} u &= a_1^{(1)} a_2^{(1)} a_3^{(1)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(1)} a_6^{(1)} a_7^{(1)}, \\ v &= a_1^{(2)} a_2^{(2)} a_3^{(2)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(2)} a_6^{(2)} a_7^{(2)} a_8^{(2)} a_9^{(2)}, \\ w &= a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(3)}. \end{split}$$

$a_1^{(1)}$	$a_2^{(1)}$	$a_3^{(1)}$	$a_4^{(1)}$	$a_{5}^{(1)}$	$a_{6}^{(1)}$	$a_7^{(1)}$					
			$a_1^{(2)}$	$a_2^{(2)}$	$a_3^{(2)}$	$a_4^{(2)}$	$a_{5}^{(2)}$	$a_{6}^{(2)}$	$a_7^{(2)}$	$a_8^{(2)}$	$a_{9}^{(2)}$
					$a_1^{(3)}$	$a_2^{(3)}$	$a_3^{(3)}$				

Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be a language. Then, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , define

$$L^{\coprod_{i},\circledast} = \{\varepsilon\} \cup \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \coprod_{i}^{n}(L,\ldots,L).$$

Formal Properties: Let  $L_1, \ldots, L_n \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $\pi : \{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, n\}$  a permutation. Then

1. 
$$L_{\pi(1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot L_{\pi(n)} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{2}^{n}(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n})$$
  
 $L_{1}^{*} \subseteq L_{1}^{\bigsqcup_{2}, \circledast}$ ; (concatenations in any order

Formal Properties: Let  $L_1, \ldots, L_n \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $\pi : \{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, n\}$ a permutation. Then

- 1.  $L_{\pi(1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot L_{\pi(n)} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{2}^{n}(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n})$  $L_{1}^{*} \subseteq L_{1}^{\bigsqcup_{2}, \circledast}$ ; (concatenations in any order)
- 2. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $\coprod_2^n(L_1, \ldots, L_n) = \coprod_2^n(L_{((1+k-1) \mod n)+1}, \ldots, L_{((n+k-1) \mod n)+1})$ (cyclic permutation of arguments)

Formal Properties: Let  $L_1, \ldots, L_n \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $\pi : \{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, n\}$ a permutation. Then

- 1.  $L_{\pi(1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot L_{\pi(n)} \subseteq \coprod_{2}^{n}(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n})$  $L_{1}^{*} \subseteq L_{1}^{\coprod_{2}, \circledast}$ ; (concatenations in any order)
- 2. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $\coprod_2^n(L_1, \ldots, L_n) = \coprod_2^n(L_{((1+k-1) \mod n)+1}, \ldots, L_{((n+k-1) \mod n)+1})$ (cyclic permutation of arguments)
- 3. 
  $$\begin{split} & \amalg_1^n(L_1,\ldots,L_n) \subseteq \amalg_2^n(L_1,\ldots,L_n) \subseteq L_1 \sqcup \sqcup \sqcup \sqcup L_n; \\ & L_1^{\amalg_1,\circledast} \subseteq L_1^{\amalg_2,\circledast} \subseteq L_1^{\amalg,*}; \text{ (inclusion relations)} \end{split}$$
- 4. for  $u_1, \ldots, u_n, u \in \Sigma^*$ , if  $u \in \bigsqcup_i^n (\{u_1\}, \ldots, \{u_n\})$ , then  $|u| = |u_1| + \ldots + |u_n|$  (length preserving)

A full trio (Ginsburg & Greibach, 1967) is a family of languages closed under

- 1. homomorphisms,
- 2. inverse homomorphisms,
- 3. and intersection with regular languages.

A full trio (Ginsburg & Greibach, 1967) is a family of languages closed under

- 1. homomorphisms,
- 2. inverse homomorphisms,
- 3. and intersection with regular languages.

Let  ${\mathcal L}$  be a full trio. The following are equivalent:

- 1.  ${\mathcal L}$  is closed under shuffle.
- 2.  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed under literal shuffle.
- 3.  ${\cal L}$  is closed under initial literal shuffle.

A full trio (Ginsburg & Greibach, 1967) is a family of languages closed under

- 1. homomorphisms,
- 2. inverse homomorphisms,
- 3. and intersection with regular languages.

Let  ${\mathcal L}$  be a full trio. The following are equivalent:

- 1.  ${\mathcal L}$  is closed under shuffle.
- 2.  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed under literal shuffle.
- 3.  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed under initial literal shuffle.
- 4.  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed under the *n*-ary initial literal shuffle for a fixed  $n \geq 2$ .
- 5.  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed under *n*-ary literal shuffle for a fixed  $n \geq 2$ .

Further, for  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$L^{\sqcup,*} = \pi_{\Sigma \cup \{\$\}, \Sigma}(\pi_{\Sigma \cup \{\$\}, \Sigma}^{-1}(L)^{\sqcup_{i}, \circledast}).$$

Closed under  $\bigsqcup_{i}^{n}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and every fixed  $n \geq 2$ :

- 1. regular languages
- 2. context-sensitive
- 3. recursive
- 4. recursively enumerable

Closed under both iterated versions:

- 1. context-sensitive
- 2. recursive
- 3. recursively enumerable

#### **Closure Properties**

Class of context-free languages not closed.

Let

1. 
$$U = \{a^{2n}b^nc \mid n \ge 1\},$$
  
2.  $V = \{a^nb^{2n}c \mid n \ge 1\}.$ 

Then,

$$\begin{split} & \amalg_1(U,V) \cap \{a,b\}^* cc \\ & = \amalg_2(U,V) \cap \{a,b\}^* cc = \{a^n (ba)^n b^n cc \mid n \ge 1\}. \end{split}$$

In general, a full trio is closed under a shuffle variant iff it is closed under intersection (Ginsburg, 1975). Even for finite languages, for the iterated versions we have:

$$(abc)^{\sqcup_1,\circledast} = (abc)^{\sqcup_2,\circledast} \cap a^*b^*c^* = \{a^mb^mc^m \mid m \ge 0\}.$$

The following problems are in P:

- 1. Input:  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  represented by NFA,  $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \Sigma^*$ . Question:  $\coprod_1^n(w_1, \ldots, w_n) \in L$ ?
- 2. Input: Words  $w, v \in \Sigma^*$ Question:  $w \in \{v\}^{\sqcup \sqcup_1, \circledast}$ ?

The following problem is NP-complete if  $|\Sigma| \geq 3$ :

1. Input:  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  represented by DFA,  $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \Sigma^*$ . Question:  $\coprod_2^n(w_1, \ldots, w_n) \cap L \neq \emptyset$ .

#### **Computational Complexity**

#### Problem

Input:  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  represented by DFA,  $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \Sigma^*$ . Question:  $\coprod_2^n(w_1, \ldots, w_n) \cap L \neq \emptyset$ .

Reduction from 3-PARTITION. Strongly NP-complete, i.e., it is NP-complete even when the input numbers are encoded in unary.

Input: Multiset  $S = \{n_1, \ldots, n_{3m}\}$  with  $B = (\sum_{i=1}^{3m} n_i)/m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Question: Partition S into m disjoint  $S_1, \ldots, S_m$  such that for each  $1 \le k \le m$ ,  $|S_k| = 3$  and  $\sum_{n \in S_k} n = B$ .

#### **Computational Complexity**

#### Problem

Input:  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  represented by DFA,  $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \Sigma^*$ . Question:  $\coprod_2^n(w_1, \ldots, w_n) \cap L \neq \emptyset$ .

Reduction from 3-PARTITION. Strongly NP-complete, i.e., it is NP-complete even when the input numbers are encoded in unary.

Input: Multiset  $S = \{n_1, \ldots, n_{3m}\}$  with  $B = (\sum_{i=1}^{3m} n_i)/m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Question: Partition S into m disjoint  $S_1, \ldots, S_m$  such that for each  $1 \le k \le m$ ,  $|S_k| = 3$  and  $\sum_{n \in S_k} n = B$ .

**Reduction:** Let  $S = \{n_1, \ldots, n_{3m}\}$  be an instance of 3-PARTITION. Set

$$L = \{aaauc \in \{a, b, c\}^* \mid |u|_b = B, |u|_a = 0, |u|_c = 2\}^m.$$

We can construct a deterministic automaton for L in polynomial time. Then, the given instance of 3-PARTITION has a solution if and only if

$$L\cap \amalg_2^n(ab^{n_1}c,ab^{n_2}c,\ldots,ab^{n_{3m}}c)
eq \emptyset.$$

Input:  $S = \{1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 2\}$ . Solution:  $\{1, 1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 2\}$ Reduction:  $L = U \cdot U$  with

 $U = \{aaabbbbbbccc, aaabbbbbbcbcc, \dots, aaaccbbbbbc\}.$ 



 $L \cap \amalg_2^6(abc, abc, abbc, abc, abbc, abbc) \neq \emptyset$ 

Ogden, Riddle & Round (1978) have shown that there exist deterministic context-free languages  $U, V \subseteq \Sigma^*$  such that

Input:  $w \in \Sigma^*$ Question:  $w \in U \sqcup V$ 

is NP-complete. This result was improved by Berglund, Björklund & Johanna Björklund (2013) to linear deterministic context-free languages.

Ogden, Riddle & Round (1978) have shown that there exist deterministic context-free languages  $U, V \subseteq \Sigma^*$  such that

Input:  $w \in \Sigma^*$ Question:  $w \in U \sqcup V$ 

is NP-complete. This result was improved by Berglund, Björklund & Johanna Björklund (2013) to linear deterministic context-free languages.

If  $U, V \subseteq \Sigma^*$  are context-free, then the following problem are in P:

```
Input: w \in \Sigma^*

Question: w \in U \sqcup_1 V

Input: w \in \Sigma^*

Question: w \in U \sqcup_2 V
```

# Relation to Shuffle on Trajectories

#### Shuffle on Trajectories

Mateescu et al.: "Shuffle on trajectories" (1998)



Only defined if the trajectory fits the operands; generalizes to languages. (Example due to Edixhoven & Jongmans, DLT 2021 Talk)

 $\sqcup_T^n(L_1,\ldots,L_n) = h(L'_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup L'_n \cap T')$  where

- 1.  $L'_i \subseteq \Sigma^*_i$  copies of  $L_i \subseteq \Sigma$  over pairwise disjoint alphabets
- 2. T' result from T by replacing i by  $\Sigma_i$
- 3.  $h: (\Sigma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_n)^* \to \Sigma^*$  identifies letters again

1. 
$$\amalg_1^3(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \amalg_T^3(w_1, w_2, w_3)$$
 with

 $T = (123)^* (12)^* 1^* \cup (123)^* (12)^* 2^* \cup (123)^* (23)^* 2^* \cup (123)^* (23)^* 3^*$ 

2.  $\amalg_2^3(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \amalg_T^3(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  with

 $T = 1^{*}((12)^{*} + (13)^{*})(123)^{*}((12)^{*} + (13)^{*})1^{*}$   $2^{*}((12)^{*} + (23)^{*})(123)^{*}((12)^{*} + (23)^{*})2^{*}$  $3^{*}((13)^{*} + (23)^{*})(123)^{*}((13)^{*} + (23)^{*})3^{*}$ 

 $\dots$   $1^*2^*3^* + 2^*3^*1^* + 3^*2^*1^* + 1^*3^*2^* + 2^*1^*3^* + 3^*2^*1^*.$ 

- 1. Mateescu et al. (JALC 2000) extended the binary shuffle on trajectories to an *n*-ary version similar to the one presented here and investigated decision problems related to a fairness condition.
- 2. Edixhoven & Jongmans (DLT 2021) used these *n*-ary operations to characterize special Dyck languages were parentheses of different type freely commute by regular-like expressions.

### Conclusion

- 1. Similar commutative operations with analogous closure and complexity results (see the paper)
- The NP-complete decision problem needed an alphabet of size three. What about alphabets of size two? (in case of an unary alphabet, all *n*-ary shuffle variants reduce to *n* times concatenation and the problem is in P).
- 3. Investigate further, or related, decision problems for the shuffle operation from the literature for the *n*-ary (iterated) variants (see for example work of Berglund et al. (2013), or Eremondi et al. (2021))
- 4. Expand investigation to arbitrary trajectories: For example, for which trajectories are certain decision problems in P and for which NP-complete.

Thank you for your attention!