

On the problem of deciding if a polyomino tiles the plane by translation


Srečko Brlek Xavier Provençal

Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique,
Université du Québec à Montréal,

August 29, 2006


Introduction to polyominos

- Discrete plane : \mathbb{Z}^2




Introduction to polyominos

- Discrete plane : \mathbb{Z}^2
- **Definition** : A *polyomino* is a finite, 4-connected subset of the plane, without holes.




Introduction to polyominos

- Discrete plane : \mathbb{Z}^2
- **Definition** : A *polyomino* is a finite, 4-connected subset of the plane, without holes.




Introduction to polyominos

- Discrete plane : \mathbb{Z}^2
- **Definition** : A *polyomino* is a finite, 4-connected subset of the plane, without holes.




Introduction to polyominos

- Discrete plane : \mathbb{Z}^2
- **Definition** : A *polyomino* is a finite, 4-connected subset of the plane, without holes.
- **Notation** : Let p be a polyomino and \vec{v} a vector of \mathbb{Z}^2 , $p_{\vec{v}}$ will denote the image of p by de translation \vec{v} .



Freeman chain code


$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$



Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$w =$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a b$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a b \bar{a}$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a b \bar{a} b$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a b \bar{a} b \bar{a}$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a b \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{a}$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a b \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{b}$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a b \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a}$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$




$$w = a a a a b \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b}$$

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$



$$w = a a a a b \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b}$$

Notation :


$w \equiv w'$ notes that w and w' are conjugate.

There exist $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ such that :
 $w = uv$ and $w' = vu$.

Freeman chain code

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\}$$

$a \rightarrow$	$b \uparrow$
$\bar{a} \leftarrow$	$\bar{b} \downarrow$



$$w \equiv a a a a b \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b}$$

Notation :

$w \equiv w'$ notes that w and w' are conjugate.

There exist $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ such that :
 $w = uv$ and $w' = vu$.

Tilings

Definition :

A *tiling* of the plane by a polyomino p is a set T of non-overlapping translated copies of p that covers all the plane.

$$\bigcup_{p_u \in T} p_u = \mathbb{Z}^2$$

$$\bigcap_{p_u \in T} p_u = \emptyset$$

Tilings

Definition :


A *tiling* of the plane by a polyomino p is a set T of non-overlapping translated copies of p that covers all the plane.

$$\bigcup_{p_u \in T} p_u = \mathbb{Z}^2$$

$$\bigcap_{p_u \in T} p_u = \emptyset$$

Regular

$$\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^2, T = \{p_{iu+jv} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$$



Tilings

Definition :


A *tiling* of the plane by a polyomino p is a set T of non-overlapping translated copies of p that covers all the plane.

$$\bigcup_{p_u \in T} p_u = \mathbb{Z}^2$$

$$\bigcap_{p_u \in T} p_u = \emptyset$$

Irregular

$$\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^2, T = \{p_{iu+jv} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$$



The tiling problem

Problem

Given a word $w \in \Sigma^$ coding the border of a polyomino p , does p admits a tiling of the plane.*

The tiling problem

Problem

Given a word $w \in \Sigma^$ coding the border of a polyomino p , does p admits a tiling of the plane.*

Complexity : let n be the length of w .

The tiling problem

Problem

Given a word $w \in \Sigma^$ coding the border of a polyomino p , does p admits a tiling of the plane.*

Complexity : let n be the length of w .

Lower bound : $\Omega(n)$

The tiling problem

Problem

Given a word $w \in \Sigma^$ coding the border of a polyomino p , does p admits a tiling of the plane.*

Complexity : let n be the length of w .

Lower bound : $\Omega(n)$

Upper bound : ???


Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

1984 - Wijshof and Van Leeuwen


Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

1984 - Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

Irregular




Regular




Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

1984 - Wijshof and Van Leeuwen




Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

1984 - Wijshof and Van Leeuwen




Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

1984 - Wijshof and Van Leeuwen




Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

1984 - Wijshof and Van Leeuwen




Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

1984 - Wijshof and Van Leeuwen



Wijshof and Van Leeuwen

1984 - Wijshof and Van Leeuwen



Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}\widehat{Z}$.

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \bullet$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---}$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---}$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = - \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---} \text{┘}$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = - \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---} \text{┘}$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = - \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---} \text{┐}$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = - \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---} \text{┐} \text{┌}$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = - \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---} \text{┐} \text{┌} \text{┐}$$

Beauquier and Nivat


1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}\widehat{Z}$.

$$\widehat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \widetilde{\quad}$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b$$

$$\widehat{X} = \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a}$$



Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b$$



$$\hat{X} = \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a}$$



Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b$$



$$\hat{X} = \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a}$$



Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b$$



$$\hat{X} = \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a}$$



Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b$$



$$\hat{X} = \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a}$$



Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---} \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow$$

$$\hat{X} = \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a} \quad \downarrow \uparrow \bullet$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---} \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow$$

$$\hat{X} = \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a} \quad \text{---} \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \bullet$$

Beauquier and Nivat

1991 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

$$\hat{\quad} = \text{---} \circ \sim$$

$$X = a a b a \bar{b} a b \quad \bullet \text{---} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \uparrow$$


$$\hat{X} = \bar{b} \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{a} \quad \leftarrow \text{---} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \bullet$$

Beauquier and Nivat


1984 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

Pseudo-hexagons



Pseudo-squares




Beauquier and Nivat


1984 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}\widehat{Z}$.

Pseudo-hexagons



Pseudo-squares




Beauquier and Nivat


1984 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

Pseudo-hexagons



Pseudo-squares




Beauquier and Nivat


1984 - Beauquier and Nivat

Characterization : A polyomino p tiles the plane if and only if there exists $X, Y, Z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w \equiv XYZ\hat{X}\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$.

Pseudo-hexagons



Pseudo-squares



Gambini and Vuillon

2003 - Gambini and Vuillon

$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ algorithm using Beauquier-Nivat's characterization.

Admissible factors

Definition

Let A be a factor of the word w coding a polyomkino p . A is admissible if

- $w \equiv Ax\hat{A}y$, for x, y such that $|x| = |y|$.
- A is saturated, that is, $x_0 \neq \overline{x_{k-1}}$ and $y_0 \neq \overline{y_{k-1}}$ where $k = |x| = |y|$.

Admissible factors

Definition

Let A be a factor of the word w coding a polyomkino p . A is admissible if


- $w \equiv Ax\widehat{A}y$, for x, y such that $|x| = |y|$.
- A is saturated, that is, $x_0 \neq \overline{x_{k-1}}$ and $y_0 \neq \overline{y_{k-1}}$ where $k = |x| = |y|$.

Lemma

Let w a word coding a polyomino p with Beauquier- Nivat's factorization $w \equiv XYZ\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}\widehat{Z}$. Then, X, Y and Z are admissible.


Admissible factors

$$w \equiv a a a b a b \bar{a} b \bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b} a \bar{b}$$




Admissible factors

$$w \equiv \underbrace{a a a}_A \underbrace{b a b \bar{a} b}_x \underbrace{\bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{a}}_{\hat{A}} \underbrace{b \bar{a} b a \bar{b}}_y$$





Admissible factors

$$w \equiv \underbrace{a a a}_A \underbrace{b a b \bar{a} b}_x \underbrace{\bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{a}}_{\hat{A}} \underbrace{\bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{b} a \bar{b}}_y$$





Admissible factors

$$w \equiv \underbrace{a a a}_X \underbrace{b a b}_Y \underbrace{\bar{a} b}_Z \underbrace{\bar{a} \bar{a}}_{\hat{X}} \underbrace{\bar{a} b \bar{a}}_{\hat{Y}} \underbrace{b a b}_{\hat{Z}}$$



Admissible factors

$$w \equiv \underbrace{a a a}_{X} \underbrace{b a b}_{Y} \underbrace{\bar{a} b}_{Z} \underbrace{\bar{a} \bar{a}}_{\hat{X}} \underbrace{\bar{a} b}_{\hat{Y}} \underbrace{\bar{a} b a b}_{\hat{Z}}$$



Listing admissible factors

Lemma


Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.





Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.




Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.





Listing admissible factors

Lemma

Given a position α in the word w coding a polyomino, all the admissible factors overlapping α can be listed in linear time.

If $w \equiv A x \hat{A} y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y} A \hat{x} \hat{A}$.



Detecting pseudo-squares


Theorem

Let w be the boundary of p . Determining if w codes a pseudo-square is decidable in linear time.

Detecting pseudo-squares

Theorem


Let w be the boundary of p . Determining if w codes a pseudo-square is decidable in linear time.



Detecting pseudo-squares

Theorem

Let w be the boundary of p . Determining if w codes a pseudo-square is decidable in linear time.




If $x = \hat{y}$ then $w \equiv XY\hat{X}\hat{Y}$.

Detecting pseudo-squares

Theorem

Let w be the boundary of p . Determining if w codes a pseudo-square is decidable in linear time.




If $x = \hat{y}$ then $w \equiv XY\hat{X}\hat{Y}$.

Since $w \equiv Ax\hat{A}y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y}A\hat{x}\hat{A}$.

Detecting pseudo-squares

Theorem

Let w be the boundary of p . Determining if w codes a pseudo-square is decidable in linear time.




If $x = \hat{y}$ then $w \equiv XY\hat{X}\hat{Y}$.

Since $w \equiv Ax\hat{A}y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y}A\hat{x}\hat{A}$.

Detecting pseudo-squares

Theorem

Let w be the boundary of p . Determining if w codes a pseudo-square is decidable in linear time.



If $x = \hat{y}$ then $w \equiv XY\hat{X}\hat{Y}$.

Since $w \equiv Ax\hat{A}y$ then $\hat{w} \equiv \hat{y}A\hat{x}\hat{A}$.

Admissible factors

Lemma

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all admissible factors overlapping a position α in w and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of their respective homologue factors. Then, there is at least one position in w that is not covered by any element of $\mathcal{A} \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Admissible factors

Lemma


Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all admissible factors overlapping a position α in w and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of their respective homologue factors. Then, there is at least one position in w that is not covered by any element of $\mathcal{A} \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}$.



Admissible factors

Lemma


Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all admissible factors overlapping a position α in w and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of their respective homologue factors. Then, there is at least one position in w that is not covered by any element of $\mathcal{A} \cup \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.



Admissible factors

Lemma


Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all admissible factors overlapping a position α in w and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of their respective homologue factors. Then, there is at least one position in w that is not covered by any element of $\mathcal{A} \cup \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.




Admissible factors

Lemma


Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all admissible factors overlapping a position α in w and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of their respective homologue factors. Then, there is at least one position in w that is not covered by any element of $\mathcal{A} \cup \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.



Admissible factors




Admissible factors




Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$




Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$




Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$



Admissible factors


1. $|x| = |y|$



$$w \equiv \hat{x} U x V \hat{x} \hat{U} x \hat{V}.$$

Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$



$$w \equiv \hat{x} U x V \hat{x} \hat{U} x \hat{V}.$$


Lemma

In a non-intersecting closed path on a square lattice,

$$\#(\text{left turns}) - \#(\text{right turns}) = 4.$$

Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$



$$w \equiv \hat{x} \underbrace{U} x V \hat{x} \underbrace{\hat{U}} x \hat{V}.$$


Lemma

In a non-intersecting closed path on a square lattice,

$$\#(\text{left turns}) - \#(\text{right turns}) = 4.$$

Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$



$$w \equiv \widehat{x} U x V \widehat{x} \widehat{U} x \widehat{V}.$$


Lemma

In a non-intersecting closed path on a square lattice,

$$\#(\text{left turns}) - \#(\text{right turns}) = 4.$$

Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$



$$w \equiv \hat{x} \underbrace{U}_{} x \underbrace{V}_{} \hat{x} \underbrace{\hat{U}}_{} x \hat{V}.$$


Lemma

In a non-intersecting closed path on a square lattice,

$$\#(\text{left turns}) - \#(\text{right turns}) = 4.$$

Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$



$$w \equiv \widehat{x} \underbrace{U}_{} \underbrace{x}_{} V \widehat{x} \underbrace{\widehat{U}}_{} \underbrace{x}_{} \widehat{V}.$$


Lemma

In a non-intersecting closed path on a square lattice,

$$\#(\text{left turns}) - \#(\text{right turns}) = 4.$$

Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$



$$w \equiv \hat{x} \underbrace{U}_{} x \underbrace{V}_{} \hat{x} \underbrace{\hat{U}}_{} x \hat{V}.$$


Lemma

In a non-intersecting closed path on a square lattice,

$$\#(\text{left turns}) - \#(\text{right turns}) = 4.$$

Admissible factors

1. $|x| = |y|$



$$w \equiv \hat{x} U x V \hat{x} \hat{U} x \hat{V}.$$


Lemma

In a non-intersecting closed path on a square lattice,

$$\#(\text{left turns}) - \#(\text{right turns}) = 4.$$


Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does not overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .




Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .



Admissible factors


2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .



$$w \equiv A \widehat{V} \beta \widehat{A} V \alpha.$$

Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




$$w \equiv A \widehat{V} \beta \widehat{A} V \alpha.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{A} + \vec{\widehat{V}} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\widehat{A}} + \vec{V} + \vec{\alpha}$$

Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




$$w \equiv A \widehat{V} \beta \widehat{A} V \alpha.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{A} + \vec{\widehat{V}} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\widehat{A}} + \vec{V} + \vec{\alpha} = \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta}$$

Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




$$w \equiv A \widehat{V} \beta \widehat{A} V \alpha.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{A} + \vec{\widehat{V}} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\widehat{A}} + \vec{V} + \vec{\alpha} = \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} = \vec{0}.$$

Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




$$w \equiv A \widehat{V} \beta \widehat{A} V \alpha.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{A} + \vec{\widehat{V}} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\widehat{A}} + \vec{V} + \vec{\alpha} = \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} = \vec{0}.$$

Admissible factors

2. $|x| < |y|$, \widehat{y} does not overlap \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} .




$$w \equiv A \widehat{V} \beta \widehat{A} V \alpha.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{A} + \vec{\widehat{V}} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{\widehat{A}} + \vec{V} + \vec{\alpha} = \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} = \vec{0}.$$

$$\beta \widehat{y} = \beta \alpha x.$$


Admissible factors

3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .




Admissible factors

3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .




Admissible factors

3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .




Admissible factors

3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .



Admissible factors


3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .



$$w \equiv A \gamma \hat{A} \beta.$$

Admissible factors

3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .




$$w \equiv A \gamma \hat{A} \beta.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{\beta} + \vec{\gamma} = \vec{0}.$$

Admissible factors

3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .




$$w \equiv A \gamma \hat{A} \beta.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{\beta} + \vec{\gamma} = \vec{0}.$$

Admissible factors

3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .




$$w \equiv A \gamma \hat{A} \beta.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{\beta} + \vec{\gamma} = \vec{0}.$$

$$\hat{y} = \alpha \beta x \implies y \gamma \hat{y} = \hat{x} \hat{\beta} \hat{a} \gamma \alpha \beta x.$$

Admissible factors

3. $|x| < |y|$, \hat{y} does overlap \hat{A} in \hat{B} .



$$w \equiv A \gamma \hat{A} \beta.$$

$$\vec{w} = \vec{\beta} + \vec{\gamma} = \vec{0}.$$

$$\hat{y} = \alpha \beta x \implies y \gamma \hat{y} = \hat{x} \underbrace{\hat{\beta} \hat{\alpha}}_{=\vec{0}} \gamma \alpha \beta x.$$

k-square-free words

Definition

A word w is *k*-square-free if

$$\max \{|f| : f \in \text{Squares}(w)\} < k.$$

k-square-free words

Definition

A word w is *k*-square-free if

$$\max \{ |f| : f \in \text{Squares}(w) \} < k.$$

Exemple : $w = a \underbrace{a b a b} b a$ is *k*-square-free for $k \geq 5$.

k-square-free words

Definition

A word w is *k*-square-free if

$$\max \{ |f| : f \in \text{Squares}(w) \} < k.$$

Exemple : $w = a \underbrace{a b a b} b a$ is *k*-square-free for $k \geq 5$.

Lemma

Let w be a *k*-square-free word coding a polyomino, and let α be a position in w . the number of admissible factors overlapping α in w is bounded by $4k + 2 \log(n)$.

Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Theorem

Let w be a k -square-free word coding a polyomino, with $k \in \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$. Determining if w codes a pseudo-hexagon is decidable in linear time.

Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\hat{X}\hat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \hat{y} in \hat{w} .

If longest common extention(w, \hat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**

p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for

Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \widehat{y} in \widehat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \widehat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**

p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \widehat{y} in \widehat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \widehat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**


p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \widehat{y} in \widehat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \widehat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**


p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\hat{X}\hat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \hat{y} in \hat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \hat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**


p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\hat{X}\hat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \hat{y} in \hat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \hat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**


p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\hat{X}\hat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \hat{y} in \hat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \hat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**


p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\hat{X}\hat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \hat{y} in \hat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \hat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**


p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\hat{X}\hat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \hat{y} in \hat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \hat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**


p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\hat{X}\hat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \hat{y} in \hat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \hat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**


p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.

End if

End if

End for

End for



Detecting pseudo-hexagons

Input : $w \in \Sigma^*$ coding a polyomino p .

Build L_1 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position α .

$\beta :=$ (the position of the rightmost letter of w include in a factor of L_1) + 1.

Build L_2 the list of all admissible factors that overlap the position β .

For all $X \in L_1$ **do**

For all $Y \in L_2$ **do**

If $w \equiv XYx\hat{X}\hat{Y}y$ **then**

Compute i : the position of x in w .

Compute j : the position of \hat{y} in \hat{w} .

If longest common extension(w, \hat{w}, i, j) = $|x|$ **then**

p is a *pseudo-hexagon*.


End if

End if

End for

End for

$$\mathcal{O}(n + (k + \log n)^2) = \mathcal{O}(n)$$



THANK YOU!